## Statistical Analysis

Several statistical analyses have been conducted over nearly a decade to ensure that the Vital Signs tools are robust and accurate. These findings are from the 2013 analysis of the VS database.

## Structure

Scales: 5 climate scales +4 outcome scales
Mean score: 100
Standard deviation: 15
Norming \& Validation: Based on over 15,000 responses from over 100 organizations, the OVS database represents a robust norm group representing small, medium, and large enterprise, government agencies, and nonprofits from all over the globe. Industries include technology, manufacturing, finance, hospitality, healthcare, education, plus a range of professional services. The majority of respondents are from US, Italy, UAE, Singapore, Malaysia, China, and Canada; as shown below, they tend to be educated professionals.

The model has been subjected to two factor analyses and extensive psychometric validation. These analyses confirm the structure of the tools, with a 5 -factor and predictive validity and its reliability (below).

## Cronbach Alpha

One way to report on the reliability of a psychometric measure is to calculate the internal consistency of its underlying scales. Internal consistency refers to the extent to which items assigned to a scale are correlated to one another. Cronbach's coefficient alpha was used to calculate the internal consistency of the VS drivers. This statistic can range from -1.0 to +1.0 and indicates to what extent the items in a factor measure the same construct. An alpha with a positive value, and greater than 0.6, is considered statistically reliable.

| Scale | Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motivation | 0.804 |
| Teamwork | 0.875 |
| Execution | 0.796 |
| Change | 0.679 |
| Trust | 0.877 |

## Predicting Outcomes

There is a strong relationship between climate and the outcomes.
A multiple regression analysis was used to test the relationship between the OVS scales and the four outcomes. Collectively, $60 \%$ of the variation in the performance outcomes is predicted by the organizational climate ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=.5998$ ). This relationship can be seen visually in this scatter graph:


The climate scores also predict variation in each individual outcome:

- Retention $=36.33 \%$
- Productivity $=36.68 \%$
- Customer Focus $=42.62 \%$
- Future Success $=60.27 \%$

Below is the model in its predictive validity showing how different factors contribute to explain the link between climate and overall performance.

Report of the Forward procedure for dependent variables, $n=5350, P>0.5$ (by Regression Analysis OVS 201 3, Lorenzo Fariselli, 2013 ):

| Predicting Total Performance | R2 Partial | R2 Model |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Trust | 0.4544 | 0.4544 |
| Motivation | 0.0915 | 0.5459 |
| Teamwork | 0.0375 | 0.5834 |
| Execution | 0.0086 | 0.5920 |
| Change | 0.0061 | 0.5981 |

The following tables show how different factors contribute to each of the four OVS performance outcomes.

Report of the Forward procedure for dependent variables, $n=5350, P>0.5$ (by Regression Analysis OVS 201 3, Lorenzo Fariselli, 2013 ):

| Predicting Retention | R2 Partial | R2 Model |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Motivation | , 3019 | , 3019 |
| Trust | , 0524 | , 3544 |
| Teamwork | , 0085 | , 3629 |
| Change | , 0032 | , 3661 |
| Execution | , 0005 | ,3666 |


| Predicting Productivity | R2 Partial | R2 Model |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Motivation | , 2713 | , 2713 |
| Teamwork | , 0671 | , 3385 |
| Change | , 0152 | , 3537 |
| Execution | , 0111 | , 3648 |
| Trust | , 0020 | ,$\underline{3668}$ |


| Predicting Customer Focus | R2 Partial | R2 Model |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Execution | , 3206 | , 3206 |
| Motivation | , 0639 | , 3844 |
| Teamwork | , 0284 | , 4128 |
| Trust | , 0119 | , 4247 |
| Change |  | , $\mathbf{4 2 6 2}$ |


| Predicting Future Success | R2 Partial | R2 Model |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Trust | , 5761 | , 5761 |
| Motivation | , 0257 | , 6018 |
| Execution | , 0009 | ,$\underline{6027}$ |

## Norm Group Characteristics

The Vital Signs database includes organizations, teams, and individuals from around the globe. The following graphs provide an overview of the norm base:
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The mean score on climate is 100; there are slight variations by demographics as follows:

## Average Climate Scores by Education
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